

Surfaces with Long-Range Correlations from Non-Critical Strings

M. C. Diamantini

Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Perugia
via A. Pascoli, I-06100 Perugia, Italy

Carlo A. Trugenberger

Département de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève
24, quai E. Ansermet, CH-1211 Genève 4, Switzerland

We show that the recently proposed confining string theory describes *smooth surfaces* with *long-range correlations* for the normal components of tangent vectors. These long-range correlations arise as a consequence of a “frustrated antiferromagnetic” interaction whose two main features are non-locality and a negative stiffness.

The attempt of solving the quark confinement by means of a non-critical string theory remains an open and very attractive problem. In order to cure the well-known problems of the Nambu-Goto action in 4D [1], Polyakov [2] and Kleinert [3] proposed a new action with an additional term proportional to the extrinsic curvature of the world-sheet, the so-called *rigid string*. This term was introduced with a positive sign in order to avoid crumpling and obtain a smooth world-sheet, a property desired to match the behaviour of large- N QCD.

This goal, however, was not attained since the curvature term is infrared irrelevant [2],[3] and violent fluctuations lead to the formation of a finite correlation length for the normals to the surface and to crumpling.

Recently, Polyakov [4] proposed a new string action to describe the confining phase of gauge theories. This *confining string* theory can be explicitly derived [5] for compact $U(1)$ gauge theories in the phase with a condensate of topological defects (magnetic monopoles).

The essential idea of the rigidity term was to obtain smooth surfaces by enforcing a “ferromagnetic” alignment of the tangent (or normal) vectors to the surface. As shown by Polyakov [2] and Kleinert [3], however, long-range order is prevented by wild fluctuations which cause crumpling. In this paper we show that *long-range correlations* and smooth surfaces are indeed obtained for confining strings by a totally different mechanism. The relevant features of confining strings are two: i) the interaction between tangent (or normal) vectors to the surface is *non-local*; ii) the extrinsic curvature term has a *negative* coefficient. Note that such a negative stiffness is crucial also to match the correct high-temperature behaviour of the free-energy of large- N QCD [6].

In our analogy with spin systems the negative stiffness would correspond to an “*antiferromagnetic*” interaction between tangent (or normal) vectors to the surface. Non-locality, instead, would mean that this antiferromagnetic interaction extends beyond “nearest neighbours”. The result is a *frustrated system*, which can have a very complicated phase structure [7]. It is due to this non-local, “frustrated antiferromagnetic” interaction that the correlations between the normal components of tangent vectors are long-range. Moreover, these correlations display an oscillatory behaviour with period proportional to $\sqrt{s/T}$ where s is the stiffness and T the renormalized string tension. This is the typical linear dimension of the regions on the surface with parallel orientation of the normals. By adding one half-period to the distances we obtain anti-parallel orientation and so on. This is exactly what one would expect for a smooth surface describing a “landscape” of hills and valleys of typical area s/T .

The confining string action is induced by a Kalb-Ramond field [8]. In D -dimensional Euclidean space it is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\exp(-S_{CS}) &= \frac{G}{Z(B_{\mu\nu})} \int \mathcal{D}B_{\mu\nu} \exp \left\{ -S(B_{\mu\nu}) + i \int d^D x B_{\mu\nu} T_{\mu\nu} \right\}, \\ T_{\mu\nu} &= \frac{1}{2} \int d^2\sigma X_{\mu\nu}(\sigma) \delta^D(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}(\sigma)), \\ X_{\mu\nu} &= \epsilon^{ab} \frac{\partial x_\mu}{\partial \sigma^a} \frac{\partial x_\nu}{\partial \sigma^b},\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

with $\mathbf{x}(\sigma)$ parametrizing the world-sheet and G a group factor which will be of no relevance for what follows (we will henceforth set $G = 1$). At long distances the action for the Kalb-Ramond field reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}S(B_{\mu\nu}) &= \int d^D x \frac{1}{12z^2\Lambda^{6-D}} H_{\mu\nu\alpha} H_{\mu\nu\alpha} + \frac{1}{4e^2} B_{\mu\nu} B_{\mu\nu}, \\ H_{\mu\nu\alpha} &\equiv \partial_\mu B_{\nu\alpha} + \partial_\nu B_{\alpha\mu} + \partial_\alpha B_{\mu\nu}.\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

It depends on a short-distance cutoff Λ , a parameter e with canonical dimension $(4-D)/2$ and one dimensionless parameter z . This action can be explicitly derived (for $D=3$ and $D=4$) from a lattice formulation of compact QED in the phase with condensing magnetic monopoles [9]. In this case $1/\Lambda$ plays the role of the lattice spacing while z^2 is the monopole fugacity; e is the coupling constant of the underlying gauge theory. Note that, for $z^2 \rightarrow 0$, only configurations with $H_{\mu\nu\alpha} = 0$ contribute to the partition function: this means that the Kalb-Ramond field becomes pure gauge, $B_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$, and we recover the partition function of QED coupled to point-particles described by the boundaries of the original world-sheet.

In the continuum formulation above Λ can be viewed as a Higgs mass and, correspondingly, $1/\Lambda$ as a finite thickness of the string. The mass of the Kalb-Ramond field being given by $m = \Lambda^{3-D/2}z/e$, the parameter $\tau \equiv \Lambda^{2-D/2}z/e$ corresponds to the ratio (coherence length/penetration depth) of superconductivity theory and distinguishes thus between type I ($\tau > 1$) and type II ($\tau < 1$) confining strings. This close analogy with superconductivity is not surprising when one realizes that the same action has been derived for magnetic vortices in the framework of the Abelian Higgs model [10]. Note also that an analogous action, although with the opposite sign, is relevant to the statistical mechanics of microemulsions in $D=3$ [11].

In the following we are going to write the confining string action in a form which lends itself best to analyze the long-distance geometric aspects of the surfaces it describes. A

first step consists in integrating out the Kalb-Ramond field so that we obtain the action in the following form:

$$S_{CS} = \frac{z^2 \Lambda^{6-D}}{2} \int d^2 \sigma \int d^2 \sigma' \sqrt{g(\sigma)} t_{\mu\nu}(\sigma) Y(\mathbf{x}(\sigma) - \mathbf{x}(\sigma')) \sqrt{g(\sigma')} t_{\mu\nu}(\sigma') , \quad (3)$$

where we have introduced the induced metric

$$\begin{aligned} g_{ab} &\equiv \partial_a x_\mu \partial_b x_\mu , \\ g &\equiv \det g_{ab} , \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and the tangent tensor

$$t_{\mu\nu} \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{2g}} X_{\mu\nu} . \quad (5)$$

The D -dimensional Yukawa Green's function Y is given by

$$Y(r) = N_D \frac{1}{(mr)^{D/2-1}} K_{D/2-1}(mr) , \quad N_D \equiv \frac{m^{D-2}}{(2\pi)^{D/2}} , \quad (6)$$

with $r \equiv |\mathbf{x}|$ and K a modified Bessel function [12] . In presenting (3) we have left out additional boundary terms which are of no interest in the present paper.

We proceed by rewriting the non-local kernel in bulk space as a corresponding non-local kernel on the surface. To this end we introduce a new local coordinate system around the surface: $\sigma^0, \sigma^1, \chi^2, \dots, \chi^D$. For $\chi^i = 0$, $i = 2, \dots, D$, the coordinates σ^0 and σ^1 describe the original surface; the χ^i , $i = 2, \dots, D$, describe locally flat coordinates orthogonal to the surface. The coordinate transformation is described by functions $x_\mu(\sigma^0, \sigma^1, \chi^2, \dots, \chi^D)$ such that $x_\mu(\sigma^0, \sigma^1, 0, \dots, 0)$ parametrizes the original surface.

The Yukawa Green's function in (3) can now be rewritten as

$$\int d^{D-2} \chi \int d^{D-2} \chi' \delta^{D-2}(\chi) \frac{1}{m^2 - \nabla^2} \delta^D(\mathbf{x}(\sigma, \chi) - \mathbf{x}(\sigma', \chi')) \delta^{D-2}(\chi') . \quad (7)$$

We can now use the transformation rules

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^D(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \delta^2(\sigma - \sigma') \delta^{D-2}(\chi - \chi') , \\ m^2 - \nabla^2 &= M^2 - \nabla_\chi^2 , \\ M^2 &\equiv m^2 - \mathcal{D}^2 , \\ \mathcal{D}^2 &= \mathcal{D}^a \mathcal{D}_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_a g^{ab} \sqrt{g} \partial_b , \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where $\mathcal{D}_a$ denote *covariant* derivatives along the surface, to rewrite the Green's function as

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\mathbf{x}(\sigma) - \mathbf{x}(\sigma')) &= \int d^{D-2}\chi \delta^{D-2}(\chi) \frac{1}{M^2 - \nabla_\chi^2} \delta^{D-2}(\chi) \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \delta^2(\sigma - \sigma') \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{\Lambda^{4-D}} G_D \left(\tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \delta^2(\sigma - \sigma') , \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where G_D is formally defined via its expansion in powers of $(\mathcal{D}/\Lambda)^2$. In order to compute G_D we first note that $(1/(M^2 - \nabla_\chi^2))\delta^{D-2}(\chi)$ is the Yukawa Green's function in $(D-2)$ dimensions and is thus given by $N_{D-2}(1/(Mr)^{D/2-2})K_{D/2-2}(Mr)$. The first delta function in (9) tells us then that we have to take this function at $r = 0$. For $D=3$ this gives

$$G_3 \left(\tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\tau^2 - \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2}} . \quad (10)$$

For $D \geq 4$, instead, taking the Green's function at $r = 0$ leads to an ultraviolet divergence which requires regularization on the scale Λ . One possibility [10] to regulate this divergence is to write the Yukawa Green's function at $r = 0$ as an integral of $1/(M^2 + p^2)$ over Fourier space and to regulate this integral by a Pauli-Villars procedure. However, this procedure works only for $D \leq 5$ and is thus not well suited for the large D techniques we shall use later. For completeness, however, we present the result in the interesting case of $D = 4$:

$$G_4^{PV} \left(\tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \ln \frac{\tau^2 - \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 + 1}{\tau^2 - \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2} . \quad (11)$$

A second possibility, which works for every dimension, is to regulate the potential by substituting $r \rightarrow \sqrt{|\chi|^2 + (1/\Lambda)^2}$ in the Green's function, and only afterwards taking the limit $|\chi| \rightarrow 0$. This leads to

$$G_D \left(\tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{D/2-1}} \sqrt{\tau^2 - \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2}^{\frac{D}{2}-2} K_{\frac{D}{2}-2} \left(\sqrt{\tau^2 - \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2} \right) . \quad (12)$$

Inserting (9) into (3) we obtain the confining string action in the form

$$S_{CS} = \Lambda^2 \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \ t_{\mu\nu}(\sigma) \frac{z^2}{2} G_D \left(\tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) t_{\mu\nu}(\sigma) . \quad (13)$$

In a last step we use (1) and (5) to rewrite the action in terms of the tangent vectors $\mathcal{D}_a x_\mu$ to the surface. To this end we consider a generic term in the expansion of (13) in terms of $\mathcal{D}^2$:

$$t_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}^{2k} t_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{2} (g^{ac} g^{bd} - g^{ad} g^{bc}) \partial_a x_\mu \partial_b x_\nu (\mathcal{D}^{2k} \partial_c x_\mu \partial_d x_\nu + \partial_c x_\mu \mathcal{D}^{2k} \partial_d x_\nu + r_{kcd\mu\nu}) . \quad (14)$$

Here $r_{kcd\mu\nu}$ represents the additional terms where the $2k$ covariant derivatives are distributed among $\partial_c x_\mu$ and $\partial_d x_\nu$. Using (4) we then obtain

$$t_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{D}^{2k} t_{\mu\nu} = g^{ab} \mathcal{D}_a x_\mu \mathcal{D}^{2k} \mathcal{D}_b x_\mu + r_k , \quad (15)$$

where $r_0 = -1$ and $r_1 = 0$. Since in this paper we are interested only in the *infrared properties* of confining strings we will neglect the infrared irrelevant terms r_k , $k \geq 2$, and write the confining string action as

$$S_{CS} = \Lambda^2 \int d^2 \sigma \sqrt{g} \ g^{ab} \mathcal{D}_a x_\mu V_D \left(z, \tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) \mathcal{D}_b x_\mu , \quad (16)$$

$$V_D \left(z, \tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) \equiv \frac{z^2}{2} \left\{ G_D \left(\tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} G_D (\tau, 0) \right\} .$$

At this point it is important to stress which are the crucial aspects of this action. First of all we point out that the interaction V_D is *non-local*. For a flat background, so that $\mathcal{D}^2 = \nabla^2$, it would correspond (up to a δ -function) to a potential

$$V_D(\sigma) = \frac{z^2 \Lambda^2}{2(2\pi)^{D/2}} \left(\frac{1}{\tau} \sqrt{1 + (\Lambda|\sigma|)^2} \right)^{1-D/2} K_{D/2-1} \left(\tau \sqrt{\tau + (\Lambda|\sigma|)^2} \right) , \quad (17)$$

which is positive for all $|\sigma|$. However, the exact form of this potential at short distances is irrelevant for the infrared properties of confining strings. Only the first two terms in the expansion of V_D ,

$$V_D \left(z, \tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) = t_0 + s \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 + w \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^4 + \dots ,$$

$$t_0 = \frac{z^2}{4(2\pi)^{D/2-1}} \tau^{D/2-2} K_{D/2-2}(\tau) ,$$

$$s = \frac{z^2}{4(2\pi)^{D/2-1}} \tau^{D/2-3} K_{D/2-3}(\tau) ,$$

$$w = \frac{z^2}{16(2\pi)^{D/2-1}} \tau^{D/2-4} K_{D/2-4}(\tau) , \quad (18)$$

will be relevant. Nevertheless, the presence of the remaining terms is crucial to guarantee a good ultraviolet behaviour of V_D ; for example the absence of zeros at finite momentum in the Fourier transform of V_D .

Note the sign of the second term in (18), which shows that confining strings have *negative stiffness*. A non-local action with negative stiffness, analogous to (16), has also been recently proposed in [6], where it was found that a negative stiffness is crucial in order to match the correct high-temperature behaviour of large- N QCD.

In order to expose clearly the mechanism at work in confining strings we compare (16) with the action of the rigid string [2] [3], which has

$$V_D^{\text{rigid}} = \frac{\mu_0}{2\Lambda^2} - \frac{1}{\alpha} \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2, \quad (19)$$

with μ_0 the bare string tension. The basic idea of rigid strings was to obtain smooth surfaces by enforcing the alignment of the tangent vectors $\mathcal{D}_a x_\mu$ with the “ferromagnetic” interaction embodied by the positive stiffness, i.e. the second term in (19). However, violent fluctuations contrast this mechanism, generate a finite correlation length and prevent long-range order.

In confining strings, the interaction is “*antiferromagnetic*”, as can be readily recognized by the positivity of the potential (17) or by the negative stiffness in (18). However, this “antiferromagnetic” interaction is non-local, it goes beyond “nearest neighbours” in the analogy with spin systems. This creates *frustration* [7] since nearby tangent vectors have to find a compromise between parallel and anti-parallel alignment. In the following we shall compute the correlation functions arising from this mechanism, which is totally different from the one at work in the rigid string.

To this end we shall use standard large D techniques [13] starting from the action (16). We first introduce a (dimensionless) Lagrange multiplier to enforce the constraint $g_{ab} = \partial_a x_\mu \partial_b x_\nu$,

$$S_{CS} \rightarrow S_{CS} + \Lambda^2 \int d^2\sigma \sqrt{g} \lambda^{ab} (\partial_a x_\mu \partial_b x_\nu - g_{ab}) . \quad (20)$$

We then parametrize the world-sheet in the Gauss map as

$$x_\mu(\sigma) = (\sigma_0, \sigma_1, \phi^i(\sigma)) , \quad i = 2, \dots, D , \quad (21)$$

where $-\beta/2 \leq \sigma_0 \leq \beta/2$, $-R/2 \leq \sigma^1 \leq R/2$ and $\phi^i(\sigma)$ describe the transverse fluctuations. With the usual isotropy Ansatz

$$g_{ab} = \rho \delta_{ab} , \quad \lambda^{ab} = \lambda g^{ab} , \quad (22)$$

for the metric and the Lagrange multiplier of infinite systems ($\beta, R \rightarrow \infty$) at the saddle point we obtain

$$S_{CS} = 2\Lambda^2 \int d^2\sigma (t_0 + \lambda(1 - \rho)) + \Lambda^2 \int d^2\sigma \partial_a \phi^i \left(\lambda + V_D \left(z, \tau, \left(\frac{\mathcal{D}}{\Lambda} \right)^2 \right) \right) \partial_a \phi^i . \quad (23)$$

Integrating over the transverse fluctuations ϕ^i produces a Tr Log with a factor $D - 2$ which suppresses the fluctuations of λ and ρ for large D . These are thus determined by the two saddle-point equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\rho - 1}{\rho} &= \frac{D - 2}{16\pi^2} \int_{\text{reg}} d^2p \frac{1}{\lambda + V_D(z, \tau, p^2)} , \\ \lambda &= \frac{D - 2}{16\pi^2} \int_{\text{reg}} d^2p \ln \{ p^2 (\lambda + V_D(z, \tau, p^2)) \} , \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

where the subscript “reg” means that the integrals are ultraviolet regulated on the scale 1 (note that p above is the intrinsic momentum in units of Λ). The details of the regularization procedure used are of no importance in what follows. In the infinite area limit the effective action is given by

$$S_{CS}^{\text{eff}} = 2\Lambda^2 (t_0 + \lambda) A_{\text{ext}} , \quad (25)$$

where $A_{\text{ext}} = \beta R$ is the extrinsic, physical area. From here we read off the renormalized string tension

$$\begin{aligned} T &= 2\Lambda^2 t , \\ t &\equiv t_0 + \lambda . \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

We postpone a detailed analysis of the saddle-point equations (24) to a forthcoming publication. Here we shall only address the main points. First of all let us remark that in the deep type I regime $\tau \gg 1$ the confining string reduces to the Nambu-Goto string since $V_D(z, \tau, p^2)$ is essentially constant for $0 < p \leq 1$, as is clear from (12) and (16). Note also that, for finite τ , infrared finiteness prevents the vanishing of the string tension, as can be readily recognized by using the expansion (18) in the first of the two saddle-point equations (24). This is analogous to the rigid string and means that the confining string must be viewed as an effective string theory with a fundamental microscopic length scale. However,

contrary to the case of the rigid string, this does not prevent long-range correlations, as we show below.

Very interesting is also the deep type II regime in which $\tau \rightarrow 0$. In this case the mass of the original Kalb-Ramond field in (2) vanishes and the interaction $Y(\mathbf{x}(\sigma) - \mathbf{x}(\sigma'))$ in (3) becomes a long-range *Coulomb* potential. For $\tau \rightarrow 0$ the expansion (18) is no more valid, as can be seen from the divergence of the coefficient of $(\mathcal{D}/\Lambda)^{2k}$ for sufficiently high k . In this case one has to keep the full expression

$$V_D(z, 0, p) = \frac{z^2}{2(2\pi)^{D/2-1}} \left\{ p^{D/2-2} K_{D/2-2}(p) - \frac{1}{2} \lim_{p \rightarrow 0} p^{D/2-2} K_{D/2-2}(p) \right\} . \quad (27)$$

For sufficiently large D the expansion

$$V_D(z, 0, p) = t_0 - sp^2 + \dots , \quad (28)$$

is still valid to this order. This expansion, however, breaks down for $D \leq 6$. In particular, for $D < 6$ the infrared finiteness of the first saddle point equation does not prevent anymore a solution with vanishing string tension, as is best exemplified by the case $D = 5$, for which

$$V_5(z, 0, p) = \frac{z^2}{16\pi} - \frac{z^2}{8\pi} p + \dots , \quad (29)$$

so that the denominator in the saddle point equation becomes $t - (z^2/8\pi)p + \dots$

In the following we shall assume that we are in the *string phase*, i.e. that solutions exist for both saddle-point equations (note that we know by construction that at least another, gauge phase exists for $z \rightarrow 0$). In the string phase we would like to compute the correlation function

$$g_{ab}(\sigma - \sigma') \equiv \langle \partial_a \phi^i(\sigma) \partial_b \phi^i(\sigma') \rangle , \quad (30)$$

which describes the scalar product of the components of the tangent vectors normal to the reference plane (σ^0, σ^1) at different points on the surface. This is obtained from (23) as

$$g_{ab}(\sigma - \sigma') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2 p \frac{\delta_{ab}}{\lambda + V_D(z, \tau, p^2)} e^{i\Lambda\sqrt{\rho}p(\sigma - \sigma')} , \quad (31)$$

and reduces for large D to

$$g_{ab}(\sigma - \sigma') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int d^2 p \frac{\delta_{ab}}{t - sp^2 + \dots} e^{i\Lambda\sqrt{\rho}p(\sigma - \sigma')} . \quad (32)$$

For $s/t < 1$ we are in the Nambu-Goto limit and the correlation reduces essentially to a δ -function. More interesting is the opposite regime $s/t \gg 1$ in which the negative stiffness has a crucial influence.

The dominant large-distance behaviour of the correlation function (32) can be derived independently of the ultraviolet details of the interaction:

$$g_{ab}(\sigma - \sigma') \simeq N \delta_{ab} \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\sigma - \sigma'|}} \sin \left(\sqrt{\frac{t\rho}{s}} \Lambda |\sigma - \sigma'| - \frac{\pi}{4} \right), \quad (33)$$

$$N = \frac{1}{4} (s^3 t \Lambda^2 \rho)^{-\frac{1}{4}}.$$

However, once derived, it is easiest to convince oneself of the correctness of this result by computing backwards its Fourier transform. To this end we first point out that (33) represents the asymptotic behaviour of the Neumann function $(1/4s)N \left(\sqrt{t\rho/s} \Lambda |\sigma - \sigma'| \right)$. Computing the two-dimensional Fourier transform of this function one finds [12] $1/(t - sp^2)$ for all $p < \sqrt{t/s}$ i.e. one reproduces exactly the infrared behaviour of the correlation (32) in momentum space.

The correlation function (33) is *long-range* in the usual sense that $\int d^2\sigma g_{aa}(\sigma)$ is infrared divergent. Notice the oscillatory behaviour: it tells us that the normal components of the tangent vectors have parallel alignment in regions of intrinsic area $2\pi^2 s/T$. By adding to the intrinsic distances one half-period $\sqrt{2\pi^2 s/T}$ we get anti-parallel alignment and so on. The normal components of the tangent vectors describe how the surface is “growing out” of the reference plane (σ^0, σ^1) . The correlations (33) indicate thus that the surface oscillates in and out of the reference plane with a typical intrinsic length scale $\sqrt{2\pi^2 s/T}$. This oscillation length scale is determined by the ratio of the “antiferromagnetic” coupling s and the renormalized string tension T , which contains via λ all the effects of the non-local interaction, i.e. all the frustration effects. For $s/t \gg 1$ the oscillation scale is much larger than the microscopic length scale $1/\Lambda$ and we have a smooth surface. As a concluding remark we record the ratio s/t for $D = 6$:

$$\frac{s}{t} = \frac{z^2}{16\pi^2} \frac{K_0(\tau)}{t}. \quad (34)$$

If the (dimensionless) string tension t does not diverge, this ratio and thus the size of the alignment regions diverges in the Coulomb limit $\tau \rightarrow 0$.

References

- [1] For a review see: M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and E. Witten, “Superstring Theory”, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1987).
- [2] A. M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B268 (1986) 406.
- [3] H. Kleinert, Phys. Lett. B174 (1986) 335.
- [4] A. M. Polyakov, Nucl. Phys. B486 (1997) 23; see also F. Quevedo and C. A. Trugenberger, Nucl. Phys. B501 (1997) 143.
- [5] M. C. Diamantini, F. Quevedo and C. A. Trugenberger, Phys. Lett. B396 (1997) 115.
- [6] H. Kleinert and A. Chervyakov, “Evidence for Negative Stiffness of QCD Strings”, hep-th/9601030.
- [7] For a review see: E. Fradkin, “Field Theories of Condensed Matter Systems”, Addison-Wesley, Redwood City (1991).
- [8] V. I. Ogievetsky and V. I. Polubarinov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 4 (1967) 156; M. Kalb and P. Ramond, Phys. Rev. D9 (1974) 2273.
- [9] For a review see: A. Polyakov, “Gauge Fields and Strings”, Harwood Academic Publishers, Chur (1987).
- [10] P. Orland, Nucl. Phys. B428 (1994) 221.
- [11] H. Kleinert, Phys. Lett. 211B (1988) 151.
- [12] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, “Table of Integrals, Series and Products”, Academic Press, Boston (1980).
- [13] H. Kleinert, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 1915; P. Olesen and S. K. Yang, Nucl. Phys. B283 (1987) 73; E. Braaten, R. D. Pisarski and S. M. Tse, Phys. Rev. Lett. 58 (1987) 93; F. David and E. Gitter, Nucl. Phys. B295 (1988) 332.